

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/681,479	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Teresa E. Strzelecka	<b>Art Unit</b> 1637	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Pending

 (1) Teresa E. Strzelecka.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Kenneth Sibley.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7/17/06
**Time:** \_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Mr. Sibley was contacted because a response to the Election/Restriction requirement received May 30, 2006 did not contain an election of species for the method of amplification. Mr. Sibley elected rolling circle amplification.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Teresa Strzelecka  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)